

COMPLEX POLYNOMIAL REPRESENTATION OF $\pi_{n+1}(S^n)$ AND $\pi_{n+2}(S^n)$.

Francisco-Javier TURIÉL

Geometra y Topologa, Facultad de Ciencias, Campus de Teatinos, 29071 Málaga, Spain

e-mail: turiel@agt.cie.uma.es

Abstract. The complex affine quadric $Q^m = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^{m+1} \mid z_1^2 + \dots + z_{m+1}^2 = 1\}$ deforms by retraction onto S^m ; this allows us to identify $[Q^k, Q^n]$ and $[S^k, S^n] = \pi_k(S^n)$. Thus one will say that an element of $\pi_k(S^n)$ is complex representable if there exists a complex polynomial map from Q^k to Q^n corresponding to this class. In this Note we show that $\pi_{n+1}(S^n)$ and $\pi_{n+2}(S^n)$ are complex representable.

A map from $X \subset \mathbb{K}^m$ to $Y \subset \mathbb{K}^r$, $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$, is called *polynomial* if it is the restriction to X of a polynomial (or algebraic) map $f : \mathbb{K}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^r$ such that $f(X) \subset Y$. Representing elements of each homotopy group $\pi_k(S^n)$, where $S^n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid x_1^2 + \dots + x_{n+1}^2 = 1\}$ is the n -dimensional sphere, by real polynomial maps is a classic question now. A first result by P.F. Baum (see[1]) suggested a wide affirmative answer but, later on, R. Wood showed that if k is a power of 2 then all polynomial maps from S^k to S^{k-1} are constant. Consequently the real polynomial representation is impossible in many cases among them, for example, the non-trivial element of $\pi_4(S^3)$. Therefore it seems reasonable to consider the complex representation, where this obstacle disappears and nothing changes up to homotopy.

Let Q^{m-1} be the affine quadric in $\mathbb{C}^m$ (often called the complex sphere) defined by the equation $z_1^2 + \dots + z_m^2 = 1$. If one set $z_j = x_j + iy_j$, that is to say, if one identifies $\mathbb{C}^m$ to $\mathbb{R}^{2m} = \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^m$ endowed with coordinates $(x, y) = (x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_m)$ then Q^{m-1} is given by the equations $x_1^2 + \dots + x_m^2 = y_1^2 + \dots + y_m^2 + 1$, $x_1 y_1 + \dots + x_m y_m = 0$. The map $g(x, y) = ((y_1^2 + \dots + y_m^2 + 1)^{-1/2} x, y)$ gives rise to a diffeomorphism between Q^{m-1} and the tangent bundle of the sphere $TS^{m-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^m$. On the other hand $S^{m-1} = Q^{m-1} \cap (\mathbb{R}^m \times \{0\})$, and the homotopy $H(x, y, t) = (((1-t)^2 y_1^2 + \dots + (1-t)^2 y_m^2 + 1)^{1/2} (y_1^2 + \dots + y_m^2 + 1)^{-1/2} x, (1-t)y)$ shows that

Q^{m-1} deforms by retraction onto S^{m-1} , which translates the usual retraction of a vector bundle onto the zero section. Therefore $[Q^k, Q^n]$ is naturally isomorphic to $[S^k, S^n] = \pi_k(S^n)$ under the inclusion of S^k in Q^k and the retraction $H_1 : Q^n \rightarrow S^n$ (see [5] for details). *From now on the homotopy class of a map from Q^k to Q^n will be regarded as an element of $\pi_k(S^n)$.* We will say that an element of $\pi_k(S^n)$ is *complex representable* if there exists a complex polynomial map from Q^k to Q^n corresponding to this class; $\pi_k(S^n)$ *complex representable* will mean that all its elements are complex representable. Note that if $f : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^r$ is a polynomial map and $f(S^{m-1}) \subset S^{r-1}$ then $f(Q^{m-1}) \subset Q^{r-1}$ when f is extended to a polynomial map from $\mathbb{C}^m$ to $\mathbb{C}^r$. In short, real representable implies complex representable.

Few things are known about the complex representation. In [5] it is proved that $\pi_n(S^n)$ and $\pi_{2k+1}(S^{2k})$ are complex representable. In this Note one shows that $\pi_{n+1}(S^n)$ and $\pi_{n+2}(S^n)$ are complex representable for any dimension n .

On each $\mathbb{C}^m$ we consider the symmetric bilinear form b defined by $b(z, u) = z_1 u_1 + \dots + z_m u_m$ and its associated quadratic form $q(z) = b(z, z)$; then $Q^{m-1} = q^{-1}(1)$. A map $f : \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^r$ will be called *pseudo-homogeneous of order a natural number k* when $q(f(z)) = q(z)^k$ whatever $z \in \mathbb{C}^m$; in this case $f(Q^{m-1}) \subset Q^{r-1}$. Note that order and degree may be quite different. A polynomial map from $\mathbb{C}^m$ to $\mathbb{C}^r$, homogeneous of degree k and sending $f(Q^{m-1})$ onto Q^{r-1} , is pseudo-homogeneous of order k as well, but the converse does not hold unless the polynomial map is real.

Lemma 1. *For every natural number $k \geq 1$ there exist three polynomials in two variables ρ , β_1 and β_2 , the first and the second ones with real coefficients and the third one with imaginary coefficients, such that $(t - s)\rho^2(s, t) + s^{2k-1} = t^k(\beta_1^2(s, t) + \beta_2^2(s, t))$ for any $(s, t) \in \mathbb{C}^2$, and $\rho(1, t) > 0$ when $t \in \mathbb{R}$ et $t \geq 0$.*

Proof. by Lemma 2 of [3], for each natural number $\ell \geq 0$, there exist two real polynomials in one variable φ_ℓ and λ_ℓ such that $(t - 1)\varphi_\ell^2(t) + 1 = t^{\ell+1}\lambda_\ell(t)$, the degree of λ_ℓ equals ℓ and $\varphi_\ell(t) > 0$ whatsoever $t \geq 0$. So the degree of φ_ℓ equals ℓ too. Now set $k = \ell + 1$, $\rho(s, t) = s^\ell \varphi_\ell(t/s)$, $\beta(s, t) = s^\ell \lambda_\ell(t/s)$, $\beta_1 = \beta + (1/4)$ and $\beta_2 = i\beta - (i/4)$. $\square$

Let $f, g : \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^r$ be two polynomial maps pseudo-homogeneous of order $k \geq 1$ and b -orthogonal (that is to say $b(f(z), g(z)) = 0$ for each $z \in \mathbb{C}^m$). Then $f, g : Q^{m-1} \rightarrow Q^{r-1}$ are

homotopic by means of the homotopy $\tilde{H}(z, t) = \cos(t\pi/2)f(z) + \sin(t\pi/2)g(z)$ among others.

Moreover, for any natural number ℓ , we may define a polynomial map $F : \mathbb{C}^{m+\ell} = \mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{C}^\ell \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{r+\ell} = \mathbb{C}^r \times \mathbb{C}^\ell$ by setting:

$$F(z, u) = (\beta_1(z_1^2 + \dots + z_m^2 + u_1^2 + \dots + u_\ell^2, z_1^2 + \dots + z_m^2)f(z) + \beta_2(z_1^2 + \dots + z_m^2 + u_1^2 + \dots + u_\ell^2, z_1^2 + \dots + z_m^2)g(z), \rho(z_1^2 + \dots + z_m^2 + u_1^2 + \dots + u_\ell^2, z_1^2 + \dots + z_m^2)u)$$

where ρ , β_1 and β_2 are like in Lemma 1 and $(z, u) = (z_1, \dots, z_m, u_1, \dots, u_\ell)$.

A straightforward calculation shows that F is pseudo-homogeneous of order $2k - 1$.

Theorem 1. *The element of $\pi_{m+\ell-1}(S^{r+\ell-1})$ represented by $F : Q^{m+\ell-1} \rightarrow Q^{r+\ell-1}$ is the (iterated) suspension of the element of $\pi_{m-1}(S^{r-1})$ represented by $f : Q^{m-1} \rightarrow Q^{r-1}$.*

Proof. Recall that the retraction of Q^{n-1} onto S^{n-1} was given by $H_1(x, y) = ((y_1^2 + \dots + y_n^2 + 1)^{-1/2}x, 0)$. To calculate the class of $F : Q^{m+\ell-1} \rightarrow Q^{r+\ell-1}$ it is enough to consider $H_1 \circ F : S^{m+\ell-1} \rightarrow S^{r+\ell-1}$ when $n = m + \ell$. As usual $\mathbb{K}^{n_1}$ is identified to the vector subspace $\mathbb{K}^{n_1} \times \{0\}$ of $\mathbb{K}^{n_1+n_2}$, therefore S^{n_1-1} and Q^{n_1-1} are subspaces of $S^{n_1+n_2-1}$ and $Q^{n_1+n_2-1}$ respectively.

The last part of $H_1 \circ F|_{S^{m+\ell-1}}$ equals $\rho(1, z_1^2 + \dots + z_m^2)u$ multiplied by a real positive function, where (z, u) is real as well. But $\rho(1, z_1^2 + \dots + z_m^2) > 0$ since $z_1^2 + \dots + z_m^2$ is real non-negative, therefore $H_1 \circ F : S^{m+\ell-1} \rightarrow S^{r+\ell-1}$ sends equator onto equator, north hemisphere onto north hemisphere and south one onto south one. This implies that its homotopy class is the suspension of the class of $H_1 \circ F : S^{m+\ell-2} \rightarrow S^{r+\ell-2}$. But, if $\ell \geq 2$, this last map sends equator to equator, north to north and south to south and we can start the process again. In short the class of $H_1 \circ F : S^{m+\ell-1} \rightarrow S^{r+\ell-1}$ is the suspension of the one of $H_1 \circ \varphi : S^{m-1} \rightarrow S^{r-1}$, where $\varphi : Q^{m-1} \rightarrow Q^{r-1}$ is defined by $\varphi(z) = \beta_1(1, 1)f(z) + \beta_2(1, 1)g(z)$; note that $\beta_1^2(1, 1) + \beta_2^2(1, 1) = 1$ as it follows from Lemma 1. For finishing it is enough to show that $f, \varphi : Q^{m-1} \rightarrow Q^{r-1}$ are homotopic, which is obvious because $(\beta_1(1, 1), \beta_2(1, 1))$ and $(1, 0)$ belong to Q^1 and this last space is path-connected. $\square$

Let us show that $\pi_{n+1}(S^n)$ and $\pi_{n+2}(S^n)$ are complex representable. As the unit element of a homotopy group is representable by a constant map and $\pi_2(S^1) = \pi_3(S^1) = 0$ we will assume $n \geq 2$. The Hopf-Whitehead method, applied to complex multiplication, gives us the polynomial map $f(x) = (x_1^2 + x_2^2 - x_3^2 - x_4^2, 2x_1x_3 - 2x_2x_4, 2x_1x_4 + 2x_2x_3)$ from $\mathbb{R}^4$ to $\mathbb{R}^3$, sending S^3 to S^2

and whose homotopy class spans $\pi_3(S^2) = \mathbb{Z}$ (Hopf map). Composing on the right with the real polynomial maps from S^3 to itself, constructed by R. Wood for any topological degree (see theorem 1 of [4]), yields a real representation of $\pi_3(S^2)$; so this group is complex representable too.

On the other hand the extension of f to $\mathbb{C}^4$, which is written $f(z) = (z_1^2 + z_2^2 - z_3^2 - z_4^2, 2z_1z_3 - 2z_2z_4, 2z_1z_4 + 2z_2z_3)$, sends Q^3 onto Q^2 and is quadratic homogeneous, therefore it is pseudo-homogeneous of order 2. But $g(z) = (2z_1z_4 - 2z_2z_3, 2z_1z_2 + 2z_3z_4, z_2^2 + z_4^2 - z_1^2 - z_3^2)$ is pseudo-homogeneous of order 2 as well and b -orthogonal to f . Now from Theorem 1 follows that $\pi_{n+1}(S^n) = \mathbb{Z}_2$, $n \geq 3$, is complex representable since the suspension of the class of $f : S^3 \rightarrow S^2$ is the non-trivial element of this group.

One has just shown that the non-trivial element of $\pi_4(S^3)$ may be represented by a complex polynomial map $\varphi : \mathbb{C}^5 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^4$ pseudo-homogeneous of order 3. So $f_1 = f \circ \varphi : \mathbb{C}^5 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$ and $g_1 = g \circ \varphi : \mathbb{C}^5 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$ are pseudo-homogeneous of order 6; moreover they are b -orthogonal since f and g were b -orthogonal. As $f_* : \pi_4(S^3) \rightarrow \pi_4(S^2)$ is an isomorphism, f_1 represents the non-trivial element of $\pi_4(S^2)$. But the suspension of this element is the non-trivial element of $\pi_{n+2}(S^n)$, $n \geq 3$ (recall that the image of $S : \pi_4(S^2) \rightarrow \pi_5(S^3)$ is the kernel of the Hopf morphism $H : \pi_5(S^3) = \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \pi_3(S^3) = \mathbb{Z}$, so S is an isomorphism). Therefore from Theorem 1 follows that each $\pi_{n+2}(S^n)$ is complex representable. In short:

Theorem 2. *The homotopy groups $\pi_{n+1}(S^n)$ and $\pi_{n+2}(S^n)$ are complex representable.*

Remark. a) The non-trivial element of $\pi_5(S^3)$ can be represented by a complex polynomial map $\Phi : \mathbb{C}^6 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^4$ pseudo-homogeneous of order some k . So $f_2 = f \circ \Phi : \mathbb{C}^6 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$ is pseudo-homogeneous of order $2k$ and represents the non-trivial element of $\pi_5(S^2) = \mathbb{Z}_2$ because $f_* : \pi_5(S^3) \rightarrow \pi_5(S^2)$ is an isomorphism. On the other hand $g_2 = g \circ \Phi$ is also pseudo-homogeneous of order $2k$ and b -orthogonal to f_2 so, by Theorem 1, the suspension of this element is always complex representable.

A routine calculation shows that this suspension never vanishes. In other words the element $\alpha \in \pi_{n+3}(S^n) - \{0\}$, $n \geq 2$, such that $2\alpha = 0$ is complex representable because $\pi_6(S^3) = \mathbb{Z}_{12}$, $\pi_7(S^4) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{12}$ and $\pi_{n+3}(S^n) = \mathbb{Z}_{24}$, $n \geq 5$.

b) Since $\pi_1(S^1)$ may be represented by real homogeneous polynomial maps and each of them

has an orthogonal map of the same kind (take $g = (-f_2, f_1)$ when $f = (f_1, f_2)$), by complexifying we can apply Theorem 1; therefore $\pi_n(S^n)$ is complex representable by means of pseudo-homogeneous maps of order odd. More exactly, if the absolute value of the topological degree of an element of $\pi_n(S^n) - \{0\}$ equals k , then one can take $2k-1$ as order (when $n = 2$ any topological degree $\pm k$ can be represented by a complex polynomial map of degree $2k-1$, which is not pseudo-homogeneous; see[2]).

Note that the odd order is essential for even dimension. Indeed, if $\varphi : \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ is pseudo-homogeneous of order $2r$ then $H(z, t) = \gamma(t)^{-2r} \varphi(\gamma(t)z)$, where $\gamma(t)$ is a path from 1 to -1 in $\mathbb{C} - \{0\}$, is a homotopy between $\varphi : Q^n \rightarrow Q^n$ and $\varphi \circ (-Id) : Q^n \rightarrow Q^n$; consequently the class of φ vanishes for n even.

c) The Hopf maps from S^7 and S^{15} to S^4 and S^8 respectively have no b -orthogonal map of the same kind. Indeed, if not the element $\alpha \in \pi_8(S^5)$ corresponding to the suspension of the Hopf map from S^7 to S^4 (the other case is analogous) can be represented by a pseudo-homogeneous map $\varphi : Q^8 \rightarrow Q^5$ of order 3, which is homotopic to $-\varphi \circ (-Id) : Q^8 \rightarrow Q^5$ by considering $H(z, t) = \gamma(t)^{-3} \varphi(\gamma(t)z)$ and the path $\gamma(t)$ as previously. Therefore $2\alpha = 0$, *contradiction* because α spans $\pi_8(S^5)$.

References

1. P. F. Baum, *Quadratic maps and stable homotopy groups of spheres*, Illinois J.Math. **11** (1967), 586–595.
2. G.M. Golasinski and F. Gómez Ruiz, *Polynomial and regular maps into Grassmannians*, K-Theory **26** (2002), 51-58.
3. F.J. Turiel, *Polynomial maps and even dimensional spheres*, Proc. Amer. Math.Soc. (2007) to appear.
4. R. Wood, *Polynomial maps from spheres to spheres*, Invent. Math. **5** (1968), 163–168.
5. R. Wood, *Polynomial maps of affine quadrics*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **25** (1993), 491–497.